

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/000,227 CHEN ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
John Juba, Jr.	2872	

**All Participants:**

(1) John Juba, Jr. (3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Mr. Brian C. McCormack. (4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 8 March 2004

Status of Application: Allowed herewith

Time: \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

17-31 and 42-49

Prior art documents discussed:

none

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. ~~The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.~~
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicants' election having been made with traverse in paper #6 (March 5, 2003), and this application otherwise being in condition for allowance, the examiner telephoned Applicants' representative to get authorization before canceling claims to the non-elected invention. .